

Triathlon® TS with Revision Baseplate

Building on the clinical success of Triathlon TS with the Universal Baseplate¹

Triathlon Revision Baseplate



- Easily converts to any Stryker revision procedure with the Triathlon Revision Baseplate.

Revision Insert X3




- Maintains the articular surface shape and insert post of the preceding TS Insert.²
- Designed to allow 135° of flexion, $\pm 7^\circ$ of internal/external rotation and $\pm 2^\circ$ of varus/valgus constraint.³⁻⁴



Primary TKA outcome similarities




- Early functional outcomes are similar to those reported for primary arthroplasty, demonstrating that high levels of patient function can be achieved following revision knee arthroplasty with semi-constrained devices.¹



Simplicity

- Reamer-based instruments designed for accurate tibial and femoral preparation.^{1,5-10}
- Reduced femoral offsetting to 8.7% compared to 55.4 % in other systems.¹¹



Stability

- Allows for constant ligament balance and enhanced stability in flexion.¹²



Fixation

- TS Cone Augments promote metaphyseal fixation without constraining subsequent implant positioning.^{13,14}



References

1. Hamilton DE, Simpson PM, Patton JT, Howie CR, Burnett R. Aseptic Revision Knee Arthroplasty With Total Stabilizer Prostheses Achieves Similar Functional Outcomes to Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty at 2 Years: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2017 Apr;32(4):1234-1240.
2. JR-TRIAHGS-DESR-970293
3. Stryker Technical Report Research and Development. Varus/Valgus Constraint Testing of the Triathlon TS Knee. RD-06-121.
4. Stryker Technical Report R&D. Range of Constraint Testing of Triathlon TS Plus Knee. RD-06-124
5. Mahoney, O et al. Modular femoral Offset Stems Facilitate Joint Line Restoration in Revision Knee Arthroplasty Clin. *Orthop*. 446, 2006: pp.93-98.
6. Hitt, K et al. Use of Augments Provides Greater Functional Outcomesthan Augments with Offset Adapters In Revision TKR. *ISTA 2013*
7. Masini, M et al. The Joint Reduction Method of Revision Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Orthopedics*. 2004; 27-8: 813-816.
8. Outcomes to Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty at 2 Years: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. *J Athroplasty* (2016).
9. Femoral Bone Prep Tolerance Analysis. Doc # A0004384. Project # 195725.
10. Tibial Bone Prep Tolerance Analysis. Doc # A0004385. Project # 195725.
11. Hitt, K et al. Use of Augments Provides Greater Functional Outcomes than Augments with Offset Adapters In Revision TKR. *ISTA 2013*
12. Designed to maintain collateral ligament stability throughout the range of motion. Stryker-Initiated Dynamic Computer Simulations of Passive ROM and Oxford Rig Test, Stephen Piazza, 2003
13. Stryker Technical Report R&D. Cement and Tritanium Shear Bond Strength Test. RD-15-014.
14. Leibowitz E, Lipschutz D, Soliman M, Meneghini M. Virtual Bone Analysis Determines Metaphyseal Augment Fit. *ORS 2015 Meeting Poster*.

This information is intended solely for the use of healthcare professionals.

A surgeon must always rely on his or her own professional clinical judgment when deciding whether to use a particular product when treating a particular patient. Stryker does not dispense medical advice and recommends that surgeons be trained in the use of any particular product before using it in surgery. The information presented is intended to demonstrate the breadth of Stryker's product offerings. A surgeon must always refer to the package insert, product label and/or instructions for use before using any of Stryker's products. Products may not be available in all markets because product availability is subject to the regulatory and/or medical practices in individual markets. Please contact your sales representative if you have questions about the availability of products in your area.

Stryker Corporation or its divisions or other corporate affiliated entities own, use or have applied for the following trademarks or service marks: Stryker, Triathlon. All other trademarks are trademarks of their respective owners or holders.